

TO THE SCHOOLS ADJUDICATOR FROM WANDSWORTH BOROUGH COUNCIL

Report Cleared by: Ana Popovici,

Director of Children's Services

Date submitted: 25 January 2024

By: Adam Wells

Head of Pupil Services

Email address: adam.wells@richmondandwandsworth.gov.uk

Tel no: 020 8871 8028

Website: Office of the Schools Adjudicator

Contents

Section	on 1 - Normal points of admission	4
A.	Co-ordination	4
В.	Looked after and previously looked after children	4
C.	Special educational needs and/or disabilities	5
Section	on 2 - In-year admissions	6
A.	Looked after children and previously looked after children	6
В.	Children with special educational needs and/or disabilities	6
C.	Fair access protocol	7
D.	Directions	9
E.	Other points on in-year admissions	9
Section	on 3 - Other matters	.10
Section	on 4 - Feedback	.10

Introduction

- 1. Section 88P of the School Standards and Framework Act 1998 (the Act) requires every local authority to make an annual report to the adjudicator. The Chief Adjudicator then includes a summary of these reports in her annual report to the Secretary of State for Education. The School Admissions Code (the Code) sets out the requirements for reports by local authorities in paragraph 6. Paragraph 3.30 specifies what must be included as a minimum in the report to the adjudicator and makes provision for the local authority to include any other matters. Paragraphs 6 and 3.30 of the Code require that each local authority publish its report locally.
- 2. This year's report covers the 2022/2023 academic year.

Section 1 - Normal points of admission

A. Co-ordination

i. How well did co-ordination of the main admissions round work?	Not well	A large number of small problems or a major problem	Well, with few small problems	Very well
Reception				Υ
Year 7				Υ
Other relevant years of entry				Y

• •	D i									
11	בפבעוע	$\Delta I I I$	examples	: to	Illiietrata	VALIF	angwar	IT \//	ALI.	wich.
	1 10030	QIV C	CAULIDICS	יו כ	musuate	your	answei	11 9	Ju	wioii.

- A simpler system for parents to understand
 - Common closing dates and offer date
 - One form/online application
 - One offer of school place on National Offer Day thereby increasing offer of preferred school to more families
 - LA Admissions Team as main point of contact.
- Close partnership working with all state funded schools and the LA
 - Co-operation of all schools
 - Open accountability for own admission authority schools including quality assurance of ranked application lists. All late submissions and queries were resolved in good-time ahead of pan-London deadlines for submission.
 - LA continues to administer waiting lists from National Offer Day to 31 August with school input where necessary eg faith or medical/social applications.
- Inter LA working
 - A prime example of close working between LAs on a regional basis leading to the development of the Pan London Admissions Hub and London Eadmissions Portal.

B. Looked after and previously looked after children

1.	interests of looked after children at normal points of admission ?
	☐ Not at all ☐ Not well ☐ Well ☒ Very well ☐ Not applicable
ii.	How do the admissions systems in other local authority areas serve the interests of children looked after by your local authority at normal points of admission ?

	□ Not at all □ Not well □ Well ⊠ Very well □ Not applicable					
iii.	How does your admissions system serve the interests of children who are looked after by other local authorities but educated in your area at normal points of admission ?					
	\square Not at all \square Not well \square Well \boxtimes Very well \square Not applicable					
iv.	How does the admissions system in your local authority area serve the interests of previously looked after children at normal points of admission ?					
	☐ Not at all ☐ Not well ☐ Well ☒ Very well ☐ Not applicable					
which exen	v. If you wish, please give examples of any good or poor practice or difficulties which exemplify your answers about the admission to schools of looked after and previously looked after children at normal points of admission :					
As required by the Admissions Code, looked after children and previous looked after children have first priority in the published admission criteria of maintained schools and academies. In the main managed rounds (Reception and Secondary Transfer), this has served the interests of LAC and previous LAC very well. All have been offered their first preference school.						

C. Special educational needs and/or disabilities

Please provide any comments you wish to make on the admission of children with special educational needs and/or disabilities at normal points of admission:

Pupils with an EHCP are not considered under the mainstream admissions process and are covered by separate legislation. All of Wandsworth's seven special schools are judged outstanding or good by Ofsted. There are also 13 resource bases, meaning that overall children are extremely well served in terms of admissions options. Mainstream schools and academies accept children with EHCPs readily where they can demonstrably meet their needs.

For children who have disabilities and/or special educational needs but who do not have an EHCPs, most admission authorities have an exceptional social/medical need criterion if there is an exceptional need to attend a particular school.

Section 2 - In-year admissions

A. Looked after children and previously looked after children

	i.	How does the in-year admission system serve children who are looked after by your local authority and who are being educated in your area?
		\square Not at all \square Not well \boxtimes Well \square Very well \square Not applicable
	ii.	How does the in-year admission systems in other local authority areas serve the interests of your looked after children?
		\square Not at all \square Not well \boxtimes Well \square Very well \square Not applicable
	iii.	How does the in-year admission system serve the interests of children who are looked after by other local authorities but educated in your area?
		\square Not at all \square Not well \boxtimes Well \square Very well \square Not applicable
	iv.	How does your in-year admission system serve the interests of previously looked after children?
		\square Not at all \square Not well \boxtimes Well \square Very well \square Not applicable
	which sup	ou wish, please give examples of any good or poor practice or difficulties port or exemplify your answers about in-year admissions for looked previously looked after children:
	looked of mair	uired by the Admissions Code, looked after children and previous after children have first priority in the published admission criteria stained schools and academies. This has served the interests of ad previous LAC well.
	Admiss	ed in previous years, it would be helpful to have clarity within the sions Code, around the expectation that schools should admit LAC evious LAC over number where they are the preferred school.
В	. Child	dren with special educational needs and/or disabilities
	i.	How well served are children with special educational needs and/or disabilities who have an education, health and care plan that names a school when they need to be admitted in-year ?
		\square Not at all well \square Not well \boxtimes Well \square Very well \square Not applicable

iii. How many children were admitted to schools in your area under the fair access protocol between 1 August 2022 and 31 July 2023?

Type of school	Number of Primary aged children admitted	Number of Secondary aged children admitted
Community and voluntary controlled	1	8
Foundation, voluntary aided and academies	1	45
Total	2	53

iv. If you have seen a change in the number of children referred to your Fair Access Protocol between 1 August 2022 and 31 July 2023 compared to the previous academic year please indicate what you consider the key reasons for this change to be?

The number of pupils admitted under both the Primary and Secondary Fair Access Protocols is comparable to 2021-22. There was a decrease of 11 pupils admitted under the Secondary FAP but there appear to be no overarching reasons for this.

V.	How well do you consider children referred to the fair access protocol are served in in your area?	
	☐ Not at all well ☐ Not well ☐ Well ☐ Very well ☐ Not applicable	

vi. Please provide any comments you wish on the protocol not covered above:

Wandsworth LA co-ordinates the secondary phase in-year fair access protocol through the Wandsworth Improving Behaviour & Attendance Partnership which includes representation from all secondary schools, the secondary PRU and officers from the LA Inclusion Service. There is generally a good level of engagement by schools and very few cases are discussed at meetings as work to place pupils is conducted between times as an early intervention to prevent drift and delay. This is also effective as not all schools attend partnership meetings on a regular basis.

At primary level, Fair Access Panel members are drawn from headteacher nominations together with representatives from the Primary PRU and LA Inclusion Service and Pupil Services section. As with the secondary protocol, there is good level of engagement from schools. The level of vacancies within primary schools and active participation of schools has

meant that it has been necessary to formally place only two pupils through the primary FAP in 2022-23.

A challenge in both primary and secondary phases lies in ensuring the system is equitable and fair with all schools participating fully in the process. Whilst the related changes in the Code are welcome this has had little impact on practice.

D. Directions

How many directions did the local authority make between 1 August 2022 and 31 July 2023 to maintained schools for which the local authority is not the admission authority to admit children (including children looked after by the local authority but resident in another area)?

Total number of children	Of which, looked after	Of which, not looked after
0	0	0

E. Other points on in-year admissions

i.	For the schools for which the local authority co-ordinates in-year applications, in the year between 1 Aug 2022 and 31 July 2023 did you receive
	☐ Significantly fewer applications than last year
	☐ slightly fewer applications than last year
	□ about the same
	☐ significantly more than last year
ii.	For what proportion of schools in your area did the local authority co- ordinate in-year admissions during the 2022/2023 academic year
	□ None
	□ All
	☐ Some but less than or equal to half

iii. If you wish, please provide any comments about how **well in-year admissions** works for children who are **not** looked after or previously looked after and/or do not have SEND:

Wandsworth continues to operate a centralised application system so parents need only make one application. Where it is not possible to offer a preferred school, applicants will be offered an alternative school or advised of schools with vacancies as appropriate.

This has been effective in seeking to ensure that all children changing schools or moving into the area can secure a school place. Following on from statutory co-ordination, it has enabled the monitoring and tracking of applications in order to safeguard pupils at a time when they can be most vulnerable to missing education.

There was a 2.6% increase in total number of in year-admission applications rose from 2530 in 2021-22 to 2596 in 2022-23. The largest proportion of applications is from families moving from outside of the UK.

Section 3 - Other matters

Are there any other matters that the local authority would like to raise that have not been covered by the questions above?

None			

Section 4 - Feedback

We would be grateful if you could provide any feedback on completing this report to inform our practice for 2024.

None			